arXiv:1409.7632v2 [math.RT] 4 Oct 2014

ON THE BURNSIDE-BRAUER-STEINBERG THEOREM

BENJAMIN STEINBERG

Abstract. A well-known theorem of Burnside says that if ρ is a faithful representation of a finite group G over a field of characteristic 0, then every irreducible representation of G appears as a constituent of a tensor power of ρ. In 1962, R. Steinberg gave a module theoretic proof that simultaneously removed the constraint on the characteristic, and allowed the group to be replaced by a monoid. Brauer subsequently simplified Burnside's proof and, moreover, showed that if the character of ρ takes on r distinct values, then the first r tensor powers of ρ already contain amongst them all of the irreducible representations of G as constituents. In this note we prove the analogue of Brauer's result for finite monoids. We also prove the corresponding result for the symmetric powers of a faithful representation.

1. Introduction

A famous result of Burnside [3] states that if K is a field of characteristic 0, G is a finite group and V is a finite dimensional KG-module affording a faithful representation of G, then each simple KG-module is a composition factor of a tensor power $V^{\otimes i}$ of V. Burnside's original proof [3] was via characters and formal power series. This result was vastly generalized by R. Steinberg in 1962 [20]. He showed that if K is any field, M is any monoid (possibly infinite) and V is a KM-module affording a faithful representation of M, then the tensor algebra $T(V) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes i}$ is a faithful KM-module (i.e., its annihilator in KM is 0). This easily implies that if M is finite and V is finite dimensional, then every simple KM-module is a composition factor of some tensor power of V (in fact one of the first $|M|$). Rieffel extended this result even further to bialgebras [19]; see also [15, 16].

In 1964, Brauer gave a simpler character-theoretic proof of Burnside's theorem and at the same time refined it [2]. Namely, he showed that if G is a finite group, K is a field of characteristic 0 and V is a finite dimensional KG-module affording a faithful representation of G whose character takes on r distinct values, then every simple KG-module is a composition factor of

Date: October 7, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20M30,20C15,16G99,16T10.

Key words and phrases. monoids, representation theory, characters, tensor products, symmetric powers.

This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation(#245268 to Benjamin Steinberg), the Binational Science Foundation of Israel and the US (#2012080 to Benjamin Steinberg) and by a CUNY Collaborative Incentive Research Grant.

one of the first r tensor powers of V. Because of this refinement, Burnside's result is often referred to as the Burnside-Brauer theorem.

It is natural to ask whether R. Steinberg's theorem can be similarly refined: is it true that if V is a finite dimensional KM-module affording a faithful representation of a finite monoid M over a field K of characteristic 0 and that the character of V takes on only r distinct values, then every simple KM-module is a composition factor of one of $V^{\otimes 0}, \ldots, V^{\otimes(r-1)}$?

This note answers the above question affirmatively. On the other hand, we also show that the minimal k such that $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} V^{\otimes i}$ is a faithful KM-module cannot be bounded as a function of solely the number of distinct values assumed by the character of V, as is the case for finite groups.

Brauer's proof [2] relies on the orthogonality relations for group characters. The irreducible characters of a finite monoid do not form an orthogonal set with respect to the natural inner product on mappings $M \to K$. So we have to adopt a slightly different tactic. Instead of using the orthogonality relations, we apply the character of $V^{\otimes i}$ to carefully chosen primitive idempotents. To make Brauer's argument work, we also need to apply at a key moment a small part of the structure theory of irreducible representations of finite monoids, cf. [9, 12, 18] and [4, Chapter 5].

A detailed study of the minimal degree a faithful representation of a finite monoid was undertaken by the author and Mazorchuk in [13].

It is also known that if V is a finite dimensional KG-module affording a faithful representation of a finite group G over a field of characteristic 0, then every simple KG-module is a composition factor of a symmetric power $\mathcal{S}^n(V)$ of V, cf. [7]. We prove the corresponding result for monoids and give a bound on how many symmetric powers are needed in terms of $\dim V$ and the number of distinct characteristic polynomials of the linear operators associated to elements of M acting on V. These kinds of results for representations of finite monoids over finite fields can be found in [10,11].

2. Tensor powers

We follow mostly here the terminology of the book of Curtis and Reiner [5], which will also serve as our primary reference on the representation theory of finite groups and finite dimensional algebras.

Let K be a field, A a finite dimensional K-algebra, S a simple A-module and V a finite dimensional A-module. We denote by $(V : S)$ the multiplicity of S as a composition factor of V. Recall that $S \cong Ae/Re$ where R is the radical of A and $e \in A$ is a primitive idempotent, cf. [5, Corollary 54.13]. (An idempotent e is *primitive* if whenever $e = e_1 + e_2$ with e_1, e_2 orthogonal idempotents, then either $e_1 = 0$ or $e_2 = 0$.) To prove the main result, we need two lemmas about finite dimensional algebras. The first is the content of [5, Theorem 54.12].

Lemma 1. *Let K be a field and A a finite dimensional K-algebra with radical R. Let S be a simple A-module, $e \in A$ a primitive idempotent with*

$S \cong Ae/Re$ and V a finite dimensional A-module. Then $(V : S) > 0$ if and only if $eV \neq 0$.

The second lemma on finite dimensional algebras concerns the connection between primitive idempotents for an algebra and its corners. We recall that if A is a finite dimensional algebra with radical R and $e \in A$ is an idempotent, then eRe is the radical of eAe [5, Theorem 54.6].

Lemma 2. *Let A be a finite dimensional K-algebra with radical R and let $e \in A$ be an idempotent. Suppose that S is a simple A-module such that $eS \neq 0$. Then eS is a simple eAe-module and, moreover, if $f \in eAe$ is a primitive idempotent with $eAef/eRef \cong eS$, then f is a primitive idempotent of A and $Af/Rf \cong S$.*

Proof. If $v \in eS$ is a nonzero vector, then $eAev = eAv = eS$ because S is a simple A-module. Thus eS is a simple eAe-module. Let $f \in eAe$ be as above. If $f = e_1 + e_2$ with e_1, e_2 orthogonal idempotents in A, then $ee_ie = efe_ife = fe_if = e_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and so $e_1, e_2 \in eAe$. Thus one of e_1, e_2 is 0 by primitivity of f in eAe and hence f is primitive in A. Finally, since $(eS : eAef/eRef) = 1$, we have by Lemma 1 that $0 \neq feS = fS$ and so $(S : Af/Rf) > 0$ by another application of Lemma 1. Since S is simple, we deduce that $S \cong Af/Rf$, as required. □

Next we need a lemma about idempotents of group algebras.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a finite group and K a field of characteristic 0. Suppose that $e = \sum_{g \in G} c_g g$ in KG is a nonzero idempotent. Then $c_1 \neq 0$.*

Proof. Because $e \neq 0$, we have $\dim eKG > 0$. Let θ be the character of the regular representation of G over K, which we extend linearly to KG. Then

$$\dim eKG = \theta(e) = \sum_{g \in G} c_g \theta(g) = c_1 \cdot |G|$$

since

$$\theta(g) = \begin{cases} |G|, & \text{if } g = 1 \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $c_1 = (\dim eKG)/|G| \neq 0$. □

Let M be a finite monoid and K a field. If V is a finite dimensional KM-module, then $\theta_V \colon M \to K$ will denote the character of V. Sometimes it will be convenient to extend θ_V linearly to KM. Note that $V^{\otimes i}$ is a KM-module by defining

$$m(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_i) = mv_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes mv_i$$

for $m \in M$. By convention $V^{\otimes 0}$ is the trivial KM-module. One has, of course, that $\theta_{V \otimes W} = \theta_V \cdot \theta_W$ and that the character of the trivial module is identically 1. Therefore, $\theta_{V^{\otimes i}} = \theta_V^i$ for all $i \geq 0$. The following is a monoid analogue of a well-known fact for groups.

Lemma 4. *Let M be a finite monoid, K a field of characteristic 0 and $\rho\colon M \to M_n(K)$ a representation affording the character θ. Then $\rho(m) = I$ if and only if $\theta(m) = n$.*

Proof. If $\rho(m) = I$, then trivially $\theta(m) = n$. Suppose that $\theta(m) = n$. Because M is finite, there exist $r, s > 0$ such that $m^r = m^{r+s}$. Then the minimal polynomial of $\rho(m)$ divides $x^r(x^s - 1)$ and so each nonzero eigenvalue of $\rho(m)$ is a root of unity (in an algebraic closure of K). Now the proof proceeds analogously to the case of finite groups, cf. [5, Corollary 30.11]. That is, $\theta(m)$ is a sum of at most n roots of unity and hence can only be equal to n if all the eigenvalues of $\rho(m)$ are 1. But then $\rho(m)$ is both unipotent and of finite order, and hence $\rho(m) = I$ as K is of characteristic 0. □

We shall now need to apply a snippet of the structure theory for irreducible representations of finite monoids. Details can be found in [4, Chapter 5] or [18]; a simpler approach was given in [9]. Let M be a finite monoid and $e \in M$ an idempotent. Denote by G_e the group of units of the monoid eMe. It is well known that $I_e = eMe \setminus G_e$ is an ideal of eMe, i.e., $(eMe)I_e(eMe) = I_e$; see, for instance, [21, Proposition 1.2] in Eilenberg [6].

Lemma 5. *Let M be a monoid and K a field. Let $e \in M$ be an idempotent and let V be a finite dimensional KM-module. Then $(\theta_V)|_{eMe} = \theta_{eV}$.*

Proof. There is a vector space direct sum decomposition $V = eV \oplus (1-e)V$. As eMe annihilates $(1-e)V$ and preserves eV, the result follows. □

Let S be a simple KM-module with K a field. An idempotent $e \in M$ is called an *apex* for S if $eS \neq 0$ and $I_eS = 0$. By classical results of Munn [14] and Ponizovsky [17], each simple KM-module has an apex; see [9, Theorem 5] or [4, Theorem 5.33]. The apex is unique up to $\mathscr{J}$-equivalence of idempotents, although this fact is not relevant here. We are now ready to prove our refinement of R. Steinberg's theorem [20].

Theorem 6. *Let M be a finite monoid and K a field of characteristic 0. Let V be a finite dimensional KM-module affording a faithful representation of M. Suppose that the character θ of V takes on r distinct values. Then every simple KM-module is a composition factor of $V^{\otimes i}$ for some $0 \leq i \leq r-1$.*

Proof. Let S be a simple KM-module and let $e \in M$ be an apex for S. Put $A = KM$ and let R be the radical of A. Observe that $eAe = K[eMe]$. As $eS \neq 0$, there is a primitive idempotent f of eAe such that f is primitive in A and $S \cong Af/Rf$ by Lemma 2. Write

$$f = \sum_{m \in eMe} c_m m.$$

By definition of an apex $I_eS = 0$. On the other hand, $fS \neq 0$ by Lemma 1. Thus $f \notin KI_e$. Define a homomorphism $\varphi\colon eAe \to KG_e$ by

$$\varphi(m) = \begin{cases} m, & \text{if } m \in G_e \\ 0, & \text{if } m \in I_e \end{cases}$$

for $m \in eMe$ and note that $\ker \varphi = KI_e$. Therefore,

$$\varphi(f) = \sum_{g \in G_e} c_g g$$

is a nonzero idempotent of KG_e and hence $c_e \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.

Let $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_r$ be the values taken on by θ and let

$$M_j = \{m \in eMe \mid \theta(m) = \theta_j\}.$$

Without loss of generality assume that $\theta_1 = \theta(e)$. Put

$$b_j = \sum_{m \in M_j} c_m.$$

Suppose now that $(V^{\otimes i} : S) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. We follow here the convention that $\theta_j^0 = 1$ even if $\theta_j = 0$. Then by Lemma 1, we have that

$$0 = \dim fV^{\otimes i} = \theta_{V^{\otimes i}}(f) = \sum_{m \in eMe} c_m \theta^i(m) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \theta_j^i \sum_{m \in M_j} c_m = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \theta_j^i b_j$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. By nonsingularity of the Vandermonde matrix, we conclude that $b_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$. By Lemma 5 we have that $M_1 = \{m \in eMe \mid \theta_{eV}(m) = \dim eV\}$. Because V affords a faithful representation of M, it follows that eV affords a faithful representation of eMe. Lemma 4 then implies that $M_1 = \{e\}$. Thus $0 = b_1 = c_e \neq 0$. This contradiction concludes the proof. □

Remark 1. We need to include the trivial representation $V^{\otimes 0}$ because if M is a monoid with a zero element z and if $zV = 0$, then $zV^{\otimes i} = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and so the trivial representation is not a composition factor of any positive tensor power of V. The proof of Theorem 6 can be modified to show that if S is not the trivial module, or if M has no zero element, then S appears as a composition factor of $V^{\otimes i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq r$. The key point is that only the trivial representation can have the zero element of M as an apex and so in either of these two cases, $\theta(e) \neq 0$.

Remark 2. If G is a finite group, K is a field of characteristic 0 and V is a finite dimensional KG-module affording a faithful representation of G whose character takes on r distinct values, then $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} V^{\otimes i}$ contains every simple KG-module as a composition factor by Brauer's theorem and hence is a faithful KG-module because KG is semisimple. We observe that the analogous result fails in a very strong sense for monoids. Let $N_t = \{0, 1, \ldots, t\}$ where 1 is the identity and $xy = 0$ for $x, y \in N_t \setminus \{1\}$. Define a faithful two-dimensional representation $\rho\colon N_t \to M_2(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\rho(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \rho(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \rho(j) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & j \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq t.$$

Let V be the corresponding $\mathbb{C}N_t$-module. The character of ρ takes on 2 values, 0 and 1. However, $V^{\otimes 0} \oplus V^{\otimes 1}$ is 3-dimensional and so cannot be a

faithful $\mathbb{C}N_t$-module for $t \geq 9$ by dimension considerations. In fact, given any integer $k \geq 0$, we can choose t sufficiently large so that $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} V^{\otimes i}$ is not a faithful $\mathbb{C}N_t$-module (again by dimension considerations). Thus, the minimum k such that $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} V^{\otimes i}$ is a faithful $\mathbb{C}N_t$-module cannot be bounded as a function of only the number of values assumed by the character θ_V (independently of the monoid in question).

Remark 3. A monoid homomorphism $\varphi\colon M \to N$ is called an **LI**-*morphism* if φ separates e from $eMe \setminus \{e\}$ for all idempotents $e \in M$. The proof of Theorem 6 only uses that the representation $\rho\colon M \to \mathrm{End}_K(V)$ afforded by V is an **LI**-morphism, and not that it is faithful. Hence one could obtain the conclusion of Theorem 6 under the weaker hypothesis that the representation afforded by V is an **LI**-morphism. However, if $\varphi\colon M' \to M''$ is a surjective **LI**-morphism of finite monoids and K is a field of characteristic 0, then the induced algebra homomorphism $\varphi\colon KM' \to KM''$ has nilpotent kernel [1] and hence each simple KM'-module is lifted from a simple KM''-module. Thus applying Theorem 6 to $\rho(M)$ allows one to recover the result under the weaker hypothesis from the original result.

3. Symmetric powers

Let K be a field of characteristic 0 and V a vector space over K. Then the symmetric group S_d acts on the right of $V^{\otimes d}$ by twisting, e.g.,

$$(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d)\sigma = v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}.$$

The d^{th}-symmetric power is the coinvariant space

$$\mathcal{S}^d(V) = V^{\otimes d} \otimes_{KS_d} K$$

where K is the trivial KS_d-module. In characteristic 0, one can identify $\mathcal{S}^d(V)$ with the symmetric tensors (the tensors fixed by S_d). If V is a KM-module, where M is a monoid, then $\mathcal{S}^d(V)$ is naturally a KM-module due to the KM-KS_d-bimodule structure on $V^{\otimes d}$. It is well known that if $\rho\colon M \to \mathrm{End}_K(V)$ is the representation afforded by V, then

$$\theta_{\mathcal{S}^d(V)}(m) = h_d(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$$

where $h_d(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is the complete symmetric polynomial of degree d, $\dim V = n$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of $\rho(m)$ (in a fixed algebraic closure of K) with multiplicities, cf. [8, Page 77]. We shall also need the well-known identity [8, Appendix A]

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n)t^i = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 - tx_i}. \tag{1}$$

Theorem 7. *Let K be a field of characteristic 0, let M be a finite monoid and let V be a finite dimensional KM-module affording a faithful representation $\rho\colon M \to \mathrm{End}_K(V)$. Then every simple KM-module is a composition*

factor of one of $\mathcal{S}^0(M), \ldots, \mathcal{S}^{r-1}(M)$ *with* $r = \dim V \cdot s$ *where* s *is the number of distinct characteristic polynomials of the elements* $\rho(m)$ *with* $m \in M$.

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 6. Let S be a simple KM-module and let $e \in M$ be an apex for S. Since $\mathcal{S}^0(V)$ is the trivial module, we may assume that S is not the trivial module. Then e is not the zero of M (if it has one) and so $eV \neq 0$ because ρ is faithful. Put $A = KM$ and let R be the radical of A. As $eS \neq 0$, there is a primitive idempotent f of eAe such that f is primitive in A and $S \cong Af/Rf$ by Lemma 2. Write

$$f = \sum_{m \in eMe} c_m m.$$

The proof of Theorem 6 shows that $c_e \neq 0$.

Let $a_i = \dim f\,\mathcal{S}^i(V)$ and let $g(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i$ be the corresponding generating function. We prove that $g(t)$ is a non-zero rational function with denominator of degree at most r by establishing a Molien type formula.

Let $n = \dim V$ and let $p_m(t)$ be the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(m)$ for $m \in M$. Let $q_1(t), \ldots, q_s(t)$ be the s characteristic polynomials of the endomorphisms $\rho(m)$ with $m \in M$.

Notice that $e\,\mathcal{S}^i(V) = \mathcal{S}^i(eV)$ as an eAe-module because $eV^{\otimes i} = (eV)^{\otimes i}$. Let $\rho' \colon eMe \to \mathrm{End}_K(eV)$ be the representation afforded by eV. Note that if $m \in eMe$, then

$$t^n p_m(1/t) = \det(I - t\rho(m)) = \det(I - t\rho'(m)) \tag{2}$$

because if we write $V = eV \oplus (1-e)V$ and choose a basis accordingly, we then have the block form

$$I - t\rho(m) = \begin{bmatrix} I - t\rho'(m) & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $M_j = \{m \in eMe \mid p_m(t) = q_j(t)\}$ and assume that $q_1(t) = p_e(t)$. Let

$$b_j = \sum_{m \in M_j} c_m.$$

Note that if $M_j = \emptyset$, then $b_j = 0$. Observe that

$$t^n q_1(1/t) = \det(I - t\rho'(e)) = \det(I - tI) = (1-t)^k$$

where $k = \dim V$. On the other hand, since ρ' is faithful if $m \in eMe \setminus \{e\}$, by Lemma 4 not all eigenvalues of $\rho'(m)$ are 1. Therefore, $t^n p_m(1/t) = \det(I - t\rho'(m))$ is a degree k polynomial whose roots are not all equal to 1. In particular, $M_1 = \{e\}$ and so $b_1 = c_e \neq 0$.

Let $m \in eMe$ and let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ be the eigenvalues of $\rho'(m)$ with multiplicities in a fixed algebraic closure of K. Then, using (1), we have that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_{\mathcal{S}^i(eV)}(m) t^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) t^i = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{1 - t\lambda_i} = \frac{1}{\det(I - t\rho'(m))}.$$

Therefore, applying (2),

$$g(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_{\mathcal{S}^i(V)}(f) t^i = \sum_{m \in eMe} c_m \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta_{\mathcal{S}^i(eV)}(m) t^i$$
$$= \sum_{m \in eMe} \frac{c_m}{\det(I - t\rho'(m))} = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{b_j}{t^n q_j(1/t)} = \frac{b_1}{(1-t)^k} + \sum_{j=2}^{s} \frac{b_j}{t^n q_j(1/t)}$$

Since, for all $j = 2, \ldots, s$ with $b_j \neq 0$, the polynomial $t^n(q_j(1/t))$ has degree k and not all roots equal to 1 and since $b_1 = c_e \neq 0$, we conclude that $g(t) \neq 0$ and $g(t) = h(t)/q(t)$ where $\deg q(t) \leq ks \leq \dim V \cdot s = r$. Thus the sequence a_i is not identically zero and satisfies a recurrence of degree r, and hence there exists $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ such that $a_i \neq 0$. By Lemma 1 we conclude that S is a composition factor of one of $\mathcal{S}^0(V), \ldots, \mathcal{S}^{r-1}(V)$. □

Remark 4. Using Newton's identities, the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(m)$ is determined by $\theta_V(m), \ldots, \theta_V(m^{n-1})$ where $n = \dim V$, and hence s can be bounded in terms of the number of values assumed by θ_V.

Remark 5. Let V and N_t be as in Remark 2. Then there are only two distinct characteristic polynomials for elements of N_t acting on V because every non-identity element of N_t acts as a nilpotent operator. But, for any fixed k, $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} \mathcal{S}^i(V)$ cannot be a faithful $\mathbb{C}N_t$-module for t sufficiently large by dimension considerations. Thus the smallest k giving a faithful module for the monoid algebra cannot be bounded in terms of just $\dim V$ and the number of different characteristic polynomials, as is the case for finite groups.

Acknowledgments

Thanks are due to Nicholas Kuhn, who pointed out to me his results [10, 11], which led me to consider symmetric powers.

References

[1] J. Almeida, S. Margolis, B. Steinberg, and M. Volkov. Representation theory of finite semigroups, semigroup radicals and formal language theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(3):1429–1461, 2009.

[2] R. Brauer. A note on theorems of Burnside and Blichfeldt. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15:31–34, 1964.

[3] W. Burnside. *Theory of groups of finite order*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1955. 2d ed.

[4] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston. *The algebraic theory of semigroups. Vol. I.* Mathematical Surveys, No. 7. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961.

[5] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1988. Reprint of the 1962 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[6] S. Eilenberg. *Automata, languages, and machines. Vol. B.* Academic Press, New York, 1976. With two chapters ("Depth decomposition theorem" and "Complexity of semigroups and morphisms") by Bret Tilson, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 59.

[7] P. Etingof, O. Golberg, S. Hensel, T. Liu, A. Schwendner, D. Vaintrob, and E. Yudovina. *Introduction to representation theory*, volume 59 of *Student Mathematical Library*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011. With historical interludes by Slava Gerovitch.

[8] W. Fulton and J. Harris. *Representation theory*, volume 129 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. A first course, Readings in Mathematics.

[9] O. Ganyushkin, V. Mazorchuk, and B. Steinberg. On the irreducible representations of a finite semigroup. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137(11):3585–3592, 2009.

[10] P. Krasoń and N. J. Kuhn. On embedding polynomial functors in symmetric powers. *J. Algebra*, 163(1):281–294, 1994.

[11] N. J. Kuhn. Generic representations of the finite general linear groups and the Steenrod algebra. I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 116(2):327–360, 1994.

[12] G. Lallement and M. Petrich. Irreducible matrix representations of finite semigroups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139:393–412, 1969.

[13] V. Mazorchuk and B. Steinberg. Effective dimension of finite semigroups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 216(12):2737–2753, 2012.

[14] W. D. Munn. Matrix representations of semigroups. *Proc. Cambrdige Philos. Soc.*, 53:5–12, 1957.

[15] D. S. Passman. Elementary bialgebra properties of group rings and enveloping rings: an introduction to Hopf algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 42(5):2222–2253, 2014.

[16] D. S. Passman and D. Quinn. Burnside's theorem for Hopf algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 123(2):327–333, 1995.

[17] I. S. Ponizovskiĭ. On matrix representations of associative systems. *Mat. Sb. N.S.*, 38(80):241–260, 1956.

[18] J. Rhodes and Y. Zalcstein. Elementary representation and character theory of finite semigroups and its application. In *Monoids and semigroups with applications (Berkeley, CA, 1989)*, pages 334–367. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991.

[19] M. A. Rieffel. Burnside's theorem for representations of Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra*, 6:123–130, 1967.

[20] R. Steinberg. Complete sets of representations of algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13:746–747, 1962.

[21] B. Tilson. *Depth decomposition theorem*, chapter XI, pages 287–312. In Eilenberg [6], 1976.

Department of Mathematics, City College of New York, Convent Avenue at 138th Street, New York, New York 10031, USA

E-mail address: bsteinberg@ccny.cuny.edu